#### THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

#### POLITICAL SCIENCE 516C/GLOBAL PUBLIC POLICY 591G

#### **DEBATES IN MIGRATION AND CITIZENSHIP**

#### **FALL 2019**

Wednesdays, 2-5pm

#### **INSTRUCTOR**

Antje Ellermann, Associate Professor of Political Science Office: C.K. Choi 322 (Institute for European Studies)
Office Hours: Thursdays, 10-12pm, and by appointment

Phone (Office): (604) 822-4359 E-mail: antje.ellermann@ubc.ca

#### **COURSE DESCRIPTION**

Human mobility has become one of the most contested issues in contemporary politics. This seminar surveys key scholarly debates in the study of migration and citizenship in political science and cognate disciplines. We comparatively examine in both historical and cross—national perspective the ways in which states and societies (particularly in the Global North) have responded to, and have become transformed by, immigration. The course covers a wide range of topics: theories of international migration and immigration regimes, theoretical approaches to migration studies, immigration and settler colonialism, the ethics of borders, migration control, public opinion on immigration, voting behaviour and populist radical right parties, the making of immigration policy, national identity and citizenship, immigrant inclusion, and multiculturalism and religion.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

UBC's Vancouver Campus is located on the traditional, ancestral, and unceded territory of the Musqueam people. The land it is situated on has always been a place of learning for the Musqueam people, who for millennia have passed on in their culture, history, and traditions from one generation to the next on this site.

#### **PREREQUISITES**

This course is only open to graduate students. While it is primarily intended for students in political science and public policy, **subject to the instructor's approval** graduate students from cognate disciplines may also enroll.

#### **COURSE LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

At the successful completion of the course, students will be able to:

## Course Learning Outcomes

Describe and contrast the range of immigration and integration policies in the Global North and identify and draw policy lessons from their impact on admissions patterns and integration outcomes.

Describe and contrast the current and historical determinants of immigration politics in the Global North and assess the relative significance of individual determinants in accounting for patterns of immigration politics. Predict the direction of politics over time and across contexts and identify scope conditions for each prediction.

Describe determinants of public opinion on immigration and predict public responses to particular policy choices, identifying scope conditions. Make recommendations for policy makers in how to address public responses.

Articulate mature, informed, and balanced solutions to ethical dilemmas arising from the intersection of state sovereignty/border control and human rights. Propose policy recommendations on the basis of these solutions.

Critique central arguments of the migration literature from an Indigenous perspective. Identify the role played by immigration, both historically and contemporary, in enabling and perpetuating settler colonialism. Identify the implications of the call for decolonization for immigration policy and immigrant integration.

#### **COURSE FORMAT**

The format of the course will be one three-hour seminar per week.

#### **READINGS**

**Consider yourselves warned!** Students are expected to do a substantial amount of reading for this course.

All readings are electronically (and free-of-charge) available through the Koerner Library. For ease of access, they are also provided on the course website on Canvas. Should you have problems accessing any reading, notify your instructor right away.

## COURSE ASSIGNMENTS, DUE DATES AND GRADING

#### 1. Class participation (25%)

(For assessment template, see Appendices)

The success of a seminar is first and foremost determined by the degree and the quality of student participation. Regular attendance is required and students are expected to arrive on time. A high premium will be placed on class participation. Students are expected to come to class well-prepared, and to pro-actively engage in discussion with fellow students and the instructor in ways that do not shy away from challenging views and arguments while being respectful of diverse viewpoints. The instructor will provide students with questions ahead of time that will serve as a reading and discussion guide. Students are expected to be prepared to discuss these questions in seminar. Participation will account for 25% of the overall course grade.

## 2. Readings review (20%) and class presentation (15%)

(For assessment templates, see Appendices)

For a week of your choice (pending availability, and excluding Weeks 2 & 14), each student will be required to write a synthetic review of the assigned readings (see below). Students will sign up for assignments in Week 1 of the course. All assignments have to be submitted to the full class as an email attachment (Word file, no google docs) by 12pm the Tuesday before class. All students are required to read these papers before class and come prepared with comments. The readings review will account for 20% of your course grade.

You will then make a 10-15 minute class presentation. Your presentation should not summarize the readings/readings review (if you do, I will intervene) – others will already be familiar with these texts. Instead:

- Your presentation should apply arguments or concepts central to the readings to a new empirical case and assess their usefulness in understanding the case.
- Format: It is up to you whether you use PP slides. If you decide to incorporate a video or audio clip this should be no longer than 2 minutes.
- Put effort into your presentation: come with prepared presentation notes but don't let them get in the way of making eye contact with your audience.

Your presentation and class facilitation will account for 10% of your course grade.

## Readings reviews

Each week's readings speak to a central debate in migration studies. Your review should

(1) identify the central theme of the readings and critically relate them to each other: What questions do they hold in common? Where do they agree with each other? Where do they differ?

(2) critically engage with the arguments/contributions made by the various authors: What arguments do you find most compelling, and why? What are the strengths/weaknesses of the various readings? How do the various arguments expand our understanding of a substantive or methodological question?

As you assess the readings, consider the following criteria:

Theory: Is the theory internally consistent? Does it specify causal mechanisms? Is it needed for the generation of hypotheses? Is it innovative?

*Methods*: Is the research design and methods appropriate? What are the limitations arising from the choice of methods? Are these acknowledged?

*Evidence*: Does the empirical evidence support the argument? Are rival interpretation of the results possible?

Contribution: What does the study contribute to the existing literature? What do we know now that we didn't know before?

You readings review should

- be 10-12 pages in length, double-spaced. Make sure to reference all sources fully.
- keep all summary to a minimum. Do not summarize entire readings but only those aspects that directly pertain to the questions/arguments you are focusing on. Most of your review should be your own analysis of the readings.

#### 3. Response Paper (5%)

Because we will miss 2 weeks of classes, you are required to attend one migration-related research talk at UBC (instead of a make-up class). UBC Migration has a regular talk schedule. If you wish to attend another talk instead, please check with your instructor first. After the talk, write a short response paper along the lines of discussants' comments. It should be about 3 pages double-spaced, broken into: (1) 1 - 1.5 pages summary of the talk and (2) 1.5 - 2 pages assessment of its strengths and weaknesses. I strongly recommend to write the paper right after the talk while everything is still fresh in your mind. The response paper has to be submitted no later than the last day of class.

Note: while this paper "only" accounts for 5% of your final grade, failure to submit the paper will incur a grade of zero (and thus have a strong downward effect on your overall grade).

#### 4. Term paper (35%)

## Option A: Research paper

This assignment allows you to choose your research question, engage with the relevant literature, and employ empirical evidence to test your argument. Your research question should be a compelling one (typically a puzzle, or a "why" question, work best) and be migration-related. This paper could be a first stab at a paper to be presented at an academic conference, a journal article, or a thesis topic.

## Option B: Policy Analysis

This assignment allows you to evaluate specific migration or citizenship policies and to identify and analyze alternative options. Your paper should clearly establish and justify the criteria that you will use to evaluate policy and engage with existing research on the topic. Your paper will conclude with policy recommendations. The format of this paper can be closer to a research report than to a traditional academic paper.

### Option C: Literature Review

Choose a substantive or methodological area in migration studies and conduct a literature review. This essay will provide a critical assessment of the "state of the field" – again, this will be selective: like the readings review, this paper will focus on a particular theme or question. Writing a literature review is a great way to explore a literature and identify gaps in knowledge that can then become the focus of your dissertation.

## Requirements for *all* options:

- Papers should be 20-25 pages in length
- Students will email the instructor with a one-page proposal (clearly stating which paper option you have chosen) by **October 23, 2pm.**
- Students will submit the final paper as a Word attachment by Monday, December 16, 9am.

#### **LATENESS POLICY**

## Review

If the paper is handed in after the deadline (Tuesday, 12pm) but before class (Wednesday, 2pm), you will receive a **penalty of 2%**. If the paper is not handed in before class you will receive a **penalty of 5%**. After that the usual term paper lateness policy applies.

#### Response paper and term paper:

Late submission of these papers will incur a **1% penalty for each day**, including weekend days. The first day's penalty will be incurred by papers that are handed in on the day of the deadline but after the time indicated.

#### **EXTENSIONS**

Extensions will be given to students with documented medical problems or in case of serious personal emergency. If you require an extension because of a medical or personal problem **you need to contact me before the deadline and as soon as the problem arises**. Requests for extensions made after the deadline will not generally be considered.

## Better safe than sorry

Computers crash, bags get stolen, we've all had our share of bad luck. The loss of your course paper will likely cause you some sleepless nights. Therefore: back-up your work regularly (I suggest at least once an hour) either on a USB stick or, preferably, a server. You should make use of free back-up services, such as Dropbox. You can also keep copies in your email account.

#### **INSTRUCTOR AVAILABILITY**

I am available to meet with you, should you have any questions or want to discuss any issues or concerns relating to this course.

My office hours are on Thursdays 10-12pm (C.K. Choi 322). Because of my responsibilities as Director of the Institute for European Studies, some weeks office hours will have to shift in order to accommodate programming. If you cannot attend my office hours, see me after class or email me to make an appointment. **Email is generally the best way to reach me.** 

#### **EMAIL POLICY**

- For all questions that will require an answer longer than a short paragraph please see me in office hours instead
- 2. During the work week, I generally respond to emails in a timely manner (usually within 48 hours).
- 3. On weekends, I do not check email regularly. Please do not expect a response before the beginning of the work week.
- 4. Like face-to-face conversations, emails should convey mutual respect. Specifically, any email should start out addressing the recipient by name (as graduate students, you are welcome to address me by my first name) and should end with the sender's name.

#### **ILLNESS AND ABSENCE**

Should you have to miss a class, please notify me in advance.

If you miss a class for non-medical/non-personal emergency reasons (such as attending a conference), you are expected to write a brief (2-3 pages double-spaced) synthesis of the week's readings. This synthesis is in lieu of participation credits.

If you experience medical, emotional, or personal problems that affect your attendance or academic performance, please notify Arts Academic Advising. If you are registered with the Centre for Accessibility, you should notify your instructor at least two weeks before examination dates. If you are planning to be absent for varsity athletics, family obligations, or other commitments, you should discuss your commitments with the instructor before the drop date.

For UBC's full policy on academic concessions, see http://www.calendar.ubc.ca/vancouver/index.cfm?tree=3,329,0,0

#### REACH OUT AND ASK FOR HELP IF YOU NEED IT

University students often encounter setbacks from time to time that can impact academic performance. If you run into difficulties and need assistance, I encourage you to contact me by email or by dropping by my office. I will do my best to support your success during the term. This includes identifying concerns I may have about your academic progress or wellbeing through Early Alert. With Early Alert, faculty members can connect you with advisors who offer student's support and assistance getting back on track to success. Only specialized UBC advisors are able to access any concerns I may identify, and Early Alert does not affect your academic record.

For more information: <a href="https://facultystaff.students.ubc.ca/systems-tools/early-alert">https://facultystaff.students.ubc.ca/systems-tools/early-alert</a>

For information about addressing mental or physical health concerns, including seeing a UBC counselor or doctor, visit: <a href="https://students.ubc.ca/health-wellness">https://students.ubc.ca/health-wellness</a>

#### **GENERAL ACADEMIC POLICIES**

UBC provides resources to support student learning and to maintain healthy lifestyles but recognizes that sometimes crises arise and so there are additional resources to access including those for survivors of sexual violence. UBC values respect for the person and ideas of all members of the academic community. Harassment and discrimination are not tolerated nor is suppression of academic freedom. UBC provides appropriate accommodation for students with disabilities and for religious and cultural observances. UBC values academic honesty and students are expected to acknowledge the ideas generated by others and to uphold the highest academic standards in all of their actions. Details of the policies and how to access support are available here (<a href="https://senate.ubc.ca/policiesresources-support-student-success">https://senate.ubc.ca/policiesresources-support-student-success</a>).

Regular attendance in seminar and participation is expected. All assignments must be completed and handed in.

Read the university calendar so that you are aware of no-penalty drop dates, requirements for medical authorization (to defer an assignment deadline, for example) and other procedures that may affect you.

Students who wish to appeal grades assigned to their academic work may do so. The initial appeal should be made to the course instructor. If the student remains unsatisfied with this process, he/she may proceed to the head of the department or further to a formal committee established in accordance with University policies.

Religious holidays – UBC permits students who are scheduled to attend classes or write examinations on holy days of their religions to notify their instructor in advance of these days and their wish to observe them by absenting themselves from class or examination. Instructors provide opportunity for students to make up work or examinations missed without penalty. (Policy # 65.)

UBC is committed to the academic success of students with disabilities. UBC's policy on Academic Accommodations for students with disabilities aims to remove barriers and provide equal access to University services, ensure fair and consistent treatment of all students, and to create a welcoming environment. Students with a disability should first meet with an Accessibility advisor to determine what accommodations/services you are eligible for.

#### ACADEMIC INTEGRITY AND RESPONSIBILITY

As a member of this class, you are responsible for contributing to the course objectives through your participation in class activities and your work on essays, exams, and other projects. In the process of coming into your own as an independent, responsible participant in the academic community, you are encouraged to seek advice, clarification, and guidance in your learning from your instructor and/or Teaching Assistant. If you decide to seek help beyond the resources of this course, you are responsible for ensuring that this help does not lead you to submit others' work as your own. If an outside tutor or other person helps you, show this policy to your tutor or helper: make sure you both understand the limits of this person's permissible contribution. If you are uncertain, consult your instructor or TA.

Academic communities depend on their members' honesty and integrity in representing the sources of reasoning, claims, and wordings that appear in their work. Like any other member of the academic community, you will be held responsible for the accurate representation of your sources: the means by which you produced the work you are submitting. If you are found to have misrepresented your sources and to have submitted others' work as your own, penalties may follow. Your case may be forwarded to the Head of the department, who may decide that you should receive zero for the assignment. The Head will report your case to the Dean's Office, where the report will remain on file. The Head may decide, in consultation with

your instructor, that a greater penalty is called for, and will forward your case to the Dean's Office. After an interview in the Dean's Office, your case may be forwarded to the President's Advisory Committee on Academic Misconduct. Following a hearing in which you will be asked to account for your actions, the President may apply penalties including zero for the assignment; zero for the course; suspension from the university for a period ranging from 4 to 24 months; a notation on your permanent record. The penalty may be a combination of these.

Academic communities also depend on their members' living up to the commitments they make. By enrolling in this course, you make commitments to an academic community: you are responsible for meeting deadlines, and attending class and engaging in class activities. If you find that you cannot meet a deadline or cannot participate in a course activity, discuss your situation with your instructor or TA before the deadline or before your absence.

Like any academic author submitting work for review and evaluation, you are guaranteeing that the work you submit for this course has not already been submitted for credit in another course. Your submitting work from another course, without your instructor's prior agreement, may result in penalties such as those applied to the misrepresentation of sources.

#### RESPECTFUL UNIVERSITY ENVIRONMENT

UBC recognizes that "the best possible environment for working, learning and living is one in which respect, civility, diversity, opportunity and inclusion are valued." The full *UBC Statement on Respectful Environment for Students, Faculty and Staff* can be found at <a href="http://www.hr.ubc.ca/respectful-environment/files/UBC-Statement-on-Respectful-Environment-2014.pdf">http://www.hr.ubc.ca/respectful-environment/files/UBC-Statement-on-Respectful-Environment-2014.pdf</a>. Students should read this statement carefully and take note of both the protections and the responsibilities that it outlines for all members of the UBC community. Students should also review the Student Code of Conduct, at: <a href="http://www.calendar.ubc.ca/vancouver/index.cfm?tree=3,54,750,0">http://www.calendar.ubc.ca/vancouver/index.cfm?tree=3,54,750,0</a>

This course values frank discussion, healthy debate, and the free and respectful exchange of ideas. Students are welcome to voice and defend their views, which may differ from those of other students or of the instructor. However, disrespectful behavior, including bullying and harassment, will not be tolerated. The instructor and teaching assistant will be professional and respectful in all their exchanges with students, and students will exercise similar professionalism and respect in their interactions with each other, with the teaching assistant, and with the instructor.

If you have any concerns about the class environment, please raise them with the instructor. You also have the options of contacting the Head of the Political Science Department, UBC's Equity and Inclusion Office (http://equity.ubc.ca), or the UBC Ombudsperson for Students: <a href="https://ombudsoffice.ubc.ca">https://ombudsoffice.ubc.ca</a>

#### **EQUITY AND HARASSMENT**

UBC is committed to equity (including but not limited to gender equity) and fostering a safe learning environment for everyone. All peoples should be able to study, work, and learn in a supportive environment that is free from sexual violence, harassment, and discrimination. UBC's Policy #3 on Discrimination and Harassment defines harassment as: "unwanted and unwelcome attention from a person who knows, or ought to know, that the behaviour is unwelcome. Harassment can range from written or spoken comments to unwanted jokes, gifts, and physical assault, and may be accompanied by threats or promises regarding work or study opportunities and conditions. Harassment can be either a single incident or a series of related incidents." Such behavior is not acceptable and will not be tolerated at UBC. If you or someone you know has encountered sexual violence or harassment, you can find confidential support and resources at the AMS Sexual Assault Support Centre, (SASC), and the Equity and Inclusion Office. The SASC is an all-genders service that serves the UBC-Vancouver campus community and is committed to creating a safer campus community, free from sexualized violence. Their work is informed by feminism, anti-oppression and recognition of intersectionality. The Equity and Inclusion Office is committed to fostering a community in which human rights are respected and equity and diversity are integral to university life.

#### Resources are available at:

Sexual Assault Support Centre, (SASC) 249M, Student Union Building, UBC 604-827-5180 sasc@ams.ubc.ca http://amssasc.ca

Equity and Inclusion Office 2306 – 1874 East Mall (Brock Hall) 604.822.6353 equity@equity.ubc.ca http://equity.ubc.ca

## Seminar Schedule and Readings

#### INTRODUCTION

## Week 1 Introduction September 4

Including a campus walking tour, led by Morris and Helen Belkin Art Gallery staff, to explore place-based narratives of belonging

## Week 2 Disciplinary Approaches to the Study of Migration September 11

Wimmer, Andreas & Nina Glick Schiller. 2003. "Methodological Nationalism, the Social Sciences, and the Study of Migration: An Essay in Historical Epistemology." *The International Migration Review*, 37(3): 576-610\*

Bretell, Caroline B. & James F. Hollifield. 2015. "Migration Theory: Talking Across Disciplines." In: Brettell, Caroline B. and James F. Hollifield, *Migration Theory: Talking Across Disciplines*. 3rd ed. New York: Routledge. 1-21\*

Hollifield, James F. & Tom K. Wong. 2015. "The Politics of International Migration: How Can We "Bring the State Back In." In: Brettell, Caroline B. and James F. Hollifield, *Migration Theory: Talking Across Disciplines*. 3rd ed. New York: Routledge. 227-288\*

#### Either Fitzgerald **or** Abraham:

Fitzgerald, David Scott. 2015. "The Sociology of International Migration," Brettell, Caroline B. and James F. Hollifield, *Migration Theory: Talking Across Disciplines*. 3rd ed. New York: Routledge. 115-147\*

Abraham, David. 2015. "Law and Migration." In: Brettell, Caroline B. and James F. Hollifield, *Migration Theory: Talking Across Disciplines*. 3rd ed. New York: Routledge. 289-317\*

Favell, Adrian. 2015. "Migration Theory Rebooted?" In: Brettell, Caroline B. and James F. Hollifield, *Migration Theory: Talking Across Disciplines*. 3rd ed. New York: Routledge. 318-328\*

#### **BORDERS**

Week 3 Immigration and Settler Colonialism September 11

Frymer, Paul. 2014. "A Rush and a Push and the Land Is Ours": Territorial Expansion, Land Policy, and U.S. State Formation." *Perspectives on Politics*, 12(2), 119-144\*

Laurie K. Bertram. 2018. "Eskimo' Immigrants and Colonial Soldiers: Icelandic Immigrants and the North-West Resistance, 1885". *The Canadian Historical Review*. 99(1): 63-97.

Volpp, Leti. 2015. "The Indigenous As Alien." UC Irvine Law Review, 5, 289-326.\*

Simpson, Audra. 2014. *Mohawk Interruptus: Political Life Across the Borders of Settler States*." Chapel Hill: Duke University Press. Read Chapter 1 "Indigenous Interruptions: Mohawk Nationhood, Citizenship, and the State," 1-35\*

Amar Bhatia. 2013. "We Are All Here to Stay? Indigeneity, Migration and 'Decolonizing' the Treaty Right to Be Here." Windsor Yearbook of Access to Justice. 13(2): 39-64\*

## Week 4 The Ethics of Borders September 18

Song, Sarah. 2018. "Political Theories of Migration." *Annual Review of Political Science*. 21, 385–402\* (**skim** to get a sense of the larger border debate in political theory)

Walzer, Michael. 1983. *Spheres of Justice: A Defense of Pluralism and Equality.* New York: Basic Books. Chapter on "Membership," 31-63 (to be distributed)

Carens, Joseph. 1987. "Aliens and Citizens: The Case for Open Borders" *The Review of Politics*, 49(2) 251-273. Read pages 251-252, 255-362, 364-273 (skip discussion of Nozick and utilitarianism)\*

Smith, Rogers. 2014. National Obligations and Noncitizens: Special Rights, Human Rights, and Immigration. *Politics & Society* 42(3) 381-398\*

Ellermann, Antje & Goenaga, Agustín. 2019. "Discrimination and Policies of Immigrant Selection in Liberal States." *Politics & Society* 47(1) 87-116\*

Return to your notes on Simpson and Volpp (Week 3) and identify their contributions to this week's debate

## Week 5 No class September 25

Instead of a make-up class, attend a talk sponsored by UBC Migration and write a response paper (submit no later than November 27)

## Week 6 Sovereignty, Resistance, and Migration Control October 2

Torpey, John. 1998. "Coming and Going: On the State Monopolization of the Legitimate "Means of Movement." Sociological Theory, 16, only read pages 239-249\*

Luna-Firebaugh, Eileen M. 2002. "The Border Crossed Us: Border Crossing Issues of the Indigenous Peoples of the Americas," *Wičazo Ša Review*, 17(1) 159-181\*

Freeman, Gary P. 1995. "Modes of Immigration Politics in Liberal Democratic States." *International Migration Review* xxix (4) 881-887\*

Joppke, Christian. 1998. "Why Liberal States Accept Unwanted Immigration." World Politics 50(2) 266-293\*

Bonjour, Saskia. 2016. "Speaking of Rights: The Influence of Law and Courts on the Making of Family Migration Policies in Germany." Law & Policy 38(4) 328-348\*

Helbling, Marc & David Leblang (2018): "Controlling Immigration? How Regulations Affect Migration Flows." European Journal of Political Research 58(1) 248-269\*

Ellermann, Antje. 2010. "Undocumented Migrants and Resistance in the Liberal State." *Politics* & *Society* 38(3) 408-429\*

#### THE POLITICS OF IMMIGRATION

#### Week 7 Public Opinion on Immigration October 9

Hainmueller, Jens, & Hopkins, Daniel J. 2014. "Public Attitudes Toward Immigration." *Annual Review of Political Science* 17(1) 225-249\*

Paul M. Sniderman, Louk Hagendoorn & Markus Prior. 2004. "Predisposing Factors and Situational Triggers: Exclusionary Reactions to Immigrant Minorities." *American Political Science Review* 98(1) 35-49\*

Hainmueller, Jens & Dominic Hangartner. 2013. "Who Gets a Swiss Passport? A Natural Experiment in Immigrant Discrimination." *American Political Science Review* 107(1) 159-187\*

Bansak, Kirk, Jens Heinmueller & Dominik Hangartner. 2016. "How Economic, Humanitarian, and Religious Concerns shape European Attitudes toward Asylum Seekers." *Science* 354(6309) 217-222\*

Wright, Matthew, Morris Levy & Jack Citrin. 2016. "Public Attitudes Toward Immigration Policy Across the Legal/Illegal Divide: The Role of Categorical and Attribute-Based Decision-Making. *Political Behavior* 38(1) 229-253\*

Week 8 No Class (Yom Kippur) October 16

## Week 9 The Rise and Impact of Anti-Immigration Parties October 23

Ivarsflaten, Elisabeth. 2008. "What Unites Right-Wing Populists in Western Europe? Re-Examining Grievance Mobilization Models in Seven Successful Cases." *Comparative Political* Studies 41 (1) 3-23\*

Steenvoorden, Eefje, and Eelco Harteveld. 2018. "The Appeal of Nostalgia: The Influence of Societal Pessimism on Support for Populist Radical Right Parties." *West European Politics*, 41 (1):28-52\*

Minkenberg, Michael. 2001. "The Radical Right in Public Office: Agenda-setting and Policy Effects." West European Politics 24 (4) 1-21\*

Van Spanje, Joost. 2010. "Contagious Parties: Anti-Immigration Parties and Their Impact on Other Parties' Immigration Stances in Contemporary Western Europe." *Party Politics* 16(5) 563–586\*

Westlake, Daniel. 2018. "Multiculturalism, Political Parties, and the Conflicting Pressures of Ethnic Minorities and Far-right Parties." *Party Politics* 24 (4) 421-43\*

Week 10 The Making of Immigration Policy October 30

#### SUBMISSION DEADLINE FOR PAPER PROPOSAL

Boswell, Christina. 2007. "Theorizing Migration Policy: Is There a Third Way?" International Migration Review 41(1) 75-100\*

Abou-Chadi, Tarik. 2016. "Political and Institutional Determinants of Immigration Policies." *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 42 (13) 2087-2110\*

Ellermann, Antje. *The Politics of Immigration Policy*. Theory chapter and one empirical chapter (book manuscript, to be circulated)

#### CITIZENSHIP AND INCLUSION

Week 11 No Class November 6

## Week 12 Citizenship and Naturalization November 13

Brubaker, Rogers. 1990. Immigration, Citizenship, and the Nation-State in France and Germany: A Comparative Historical Analysis." *International Sociology* 5, 379-407\*

Howard, Marc Morjé. 2006. "Comparative Citizenship: An Agenda for Cross-national Research." *Perspectives on Politics* 4(3), 443-455\*

Bloemraad, Irene. 2002. "The North American Naturalization Gap: An Institutional Approach to Citizenship Acquisition in the United States and Canada1." *International Migration Review* 36 (1):193-22\*

Street, Alex. 2014. "My Child Will Be a Citizen: Intergenerational Motives for Naturalization." World Politics 66 (2) 264–92\*

Green, Joyce. 2017. "The Impossibility of Citizenship Liberation for Indigenous People." In Jatinder Mann (ed.). Citizenship in Transnational Perspective: Australia, Canada, and New Zealand. Palgrave Macmillan, 175-188\*

## Week 13 Multiculturalism and other Integration Policies November 20

Banting, Keith. 2014. Transatlantic Convergence? The Archeology of Immigrant Integration in Canada and Europe." *International Journal* 69(1) 66-84\*

Bertossi, Christophe. 2011. "National Models of Integration in Europe: A Comparative and Critical Analysis." *American Behavioral Scientist* 55(12) 1561-1580\*

Wright, Matthew & Irene Bloemraad, 2012. "Is There a Trade-off between Multiculturalism and Socio-Political Integration? Policy Regimes and Immigrant Incorporation in Comparative Perspective," *Perspectives on Politics* 10(1) 77-95\*

Goodman, Sara Wallace & Matthew Wright. 2015. "Does Mandatory Integration Matter? Effects of Civic Requirements on Immigrant Socio-economic and Political Outcomes." *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 41 (12) 1885-1908\*

Neureiter, Michael. 2018. "Evaluating the Effects of Immigrant Integration Policies in Western Europe Using a Difference-in-differences Approach." *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 1-22\*

## Week 14 Muslim Inclusion in European Societies November 27

Foner, Nancy, and Richard Alba. 2008. "Immigrant Religion in the U.S. and Western Europe: Bridge or Barrier to Inclusion?" International Migration Review 42 (2) 360-392\*

Statham, Paul, Ruud Koopmans, Marco Giugni, and Florence Passy. 2005. "Resilient or Adaptable Islam? Multiculturalism, Religion and Migrants' Claims-Making for Group Demands in Britain, the Netherlands and France." *Ethnicities* 5 (4) 427-459\*

Adida, Claire et al. 2010. "Identifying Barriers to Muslim Integration in France." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America* 107(52) 22384-22390\*

Maxwell, Rahsaan & Erik Bleich. 2014. "What Makes Muslims Feel French?" *Social Forces* 93(1) 155-179\*

Brubaker, Rogers. 2013. "Categories of Analysis and Categories of Practice: A Note on the Study of Muslims in European Countries of Immigration." *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 36(1) 1-8\*

SUBMISSION DEADLINE FOR PAPER: Monday, December 16, 9am

# **APPENDICES**

# Appendix 1: Class participation assessment

Name:
Grade:
Comments:
1. Knowledge of assigned readings
☐ Uneven demonstration of knowledge of readings
Clear and consistent demonstration of knowledge of readings/arguments throughout semester
2. Logic and quality of analysis
☐ Some gaps in analytical thinking
Consistent demonstration of analytical thinking
3. Respect of diverse perspectives
Comments were not always respectful of others' viewpoints
Comments were consistently respectful
4. Engagement with others
Comments occasionally engaged with others' contributions
Comments consistently engaged with and built on others' contributions
5. Clarity of expression
Comments sometimes lacked clarity
Comments were consistently clear and well formulated
6. Overall quality of participation
Did not quite meet expectations
Fully met expectations
Exceeded expectations
7. Overall quantity of participation
Did not quite meet expectations
Fully met expectations
Exceeded expectations

# **Appendix 2: Class presentation assessment**

Name:
Grade:
1. Overall consistency with assignment
☐ Didn't fully meet criteria ☐ Right on target
2. Choice of case study
<ul><li>☐ Not that suitable</li><li>☐ Allowed for effective analysis</li></ul>
3. Quality of analysis
☐ Analysis needs sharpening ☐ Analysis is clear and nuanced
4. Presentation style
☐ Delivery needs some improvement ☐ Excellent delivery
5. Preparedness for Q&A
☐ Insufficient knowledge of case ☐ Well-prepared for Q&A

# Appendix 3 Readings review assessment

Name:
Grade:
1. Consistency with assignment
Some requirements missing
Right on target
2. Demonstrated knowledge and understanding of readings
Understanding of readings could be improved
Excellent understanding of readings
4. Identification of central themes and authors' engagement with themes
☐ Needs improvement
Right on target
5. Engagement with and evaluation of author's arguments
☐ Insufficient justification of assessment
Great engagement and justification of positions taken
4. Organization
☐ Needs some restructuring/clearer organization
☐ Strong organization
5. Citation of sources
☐ Some citation issues
Citations well handled
6. Writing style
☐ Major writing problems
☐ Minor writing issues
Excellent writing